

[Return to search \(/podesta-emails/\)](#)

[View email](#)

[View source](#)

## Re: WaPo - Draft Quote

---

From: [john.podesta@gmail.com](mailto:john.podesta@gmail.com)

To: [Tina@presidentclinton.com](mailto:Tina@presidentclinton.com)

Date: 2015-02-25 20:00

Subject: Re: WaPo - Draft Quote

---

I'm not sure when CHAI officially separated but the main reason we protected those flows in 2008 was to protect CHAI! Rather than using the It's hard to imagine...language, why don't we put this clearly in the past and say both the Clinton and the President elect's teams thought that funding should continue for established programs to provide 8 million people with life saving drugs, the farmers, etc and posed no conflicts but CF agreed to unprecedented disclosure.

JP

--Sent from my iPad--

john.podesta@gmail.com

For scheduling: eryl.sepp@gmail.com

> On Feb 25, 2015, at 4:37 PM, Tina Flournoy

<Tina@presidentclinton.com> wrote:

>

> I get what you're doing but we could very well be in a situation in which someone connected to CHAI will push back on this

>

>

>

>> On Feb 25, 2015, at 4:31 PM, Craig Minassian

<cminassian@clintonfoundation.org> wrote:

>> + Ami too

>>

>> Sent from my iPhone

>>

>> On Feb 25, 2015, at 4:23 PM, Tina Flournoy

<Tina@presidentclinton.com> wrote:

>>

>>> at this moment CHAI complaining about this issue

>>>

>>>

>>>

>>> On Feb 25, 2015, at 4:14 PM, Craig Minassian

<cminassian@clintonfoundation.org> wrote:

>>>

>>>> I'm sensitive to that (which is why I'm asking) but those CHAI Stats are on nearly every public listing of CF

accomplishment. I'm want to make people think twice about calls for dropping grants to CF or return the money and the HIV/AIDS work hits home in a way that other stats don't. Plus it's international work. I'm concerned about saying we used money to fund healthy schools (because we didn't use the funding for that).

>>>>

>>>> From: Tina Flourney [mailto:Tina@presidentclinton.com]

>>>> Sent: Wednesday, February 25, 2015 4:09 PM

>>>> To: Craig Minassian

>>>> Cc: Bruce Lindsey; Cheryl Mills Contact;

john.podesta@gmail.com; Maura Pally; Bari Lurie contact; Matt

Mckenna - gmail; Angel Urena - PC; Nick Merrill

(nmerrill@hrcoffice.com); Karyl Bazbaz contact

>>>> Subject: Re: WaPo - Draft Quote

>>>>

>>>> I am worried - particularly given the meeting i'm sitting in - that we're using CHAI stats and haven't told them

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>

>>>> On Feb 25, 2015, at 4:03 PM, Craig Minassian <cminassian@.org> wrote:

>>>>

>>>> All,

>>>>

>>>> For the WaPo story we have been discussing, they asked for an on the record response to the following question. Draft response is below with includes feedback from Jen, Nick, Philippe, Matt etc.

>>>>

>>>> Including everyone on this email because I need a quick response about including the CHAI stats. The fact is, at the time, CHAI was more compelling metric, most understandable accomplishment to the public and, importantly, what a majority of the foreign government funding supported.

>>>>

>>>> Please let me know if you have any concerns in the next 30 minutes.

>>>>

>>>> Thanks,

>>>>

>>>> Craig

>>>>

>>>> Q. Why was it important for the foundation that some foreign government donations be allowed to continue after Hillary Clinton became secretary of state (i.e. those from governments that had been giving and then continued to do so at a steady pace, as well as new or increased donation that cleared a state department review)? Why do foundation officials believe such donations did not pose any conflicts of interest given Secretary Clinton's role, as some have alleged?

>>>>

>>>>

>>>> "As with other global charities, we rely on the support of individuals, organizations, corporations and governments who have the shared goal of addressing critical global challenges in a meaningful way. When anyone contributes to the Clinton Foundation, it goes towards Foundation programs that save lives. The majority of this support from governments is for specific, multi-year programs that are improving the lives of millions of people around the world and were underway well

before Secretary Clinton took office. It's hard to imagine someone arguing that funding should be cut off for the more than 8 million people getting access to life-saving HIV/AIDS medicine in more than 70 countries, the nearly 40,000 farmers in Malawi, Tanzania, and Rwanda trying to earn a better living or the reduction of 33,500 tons of greenhouse gas emissions annually."

Top



**COURAGE** ➤



Tor is an encrypted anonymising network that makes it harder to intercept internet communications, or see where communications are coming from or going to.

(<https://www.torproject.org/>)

Tails is a live operating system, that you can start on almost any computer from a DVD, USB stick, or SD card. It aims at preserving your privacy and anonymity.

(<https://tails.boum.org/>)

The Courage Foundation is an international organisation that supports those who risk life or liberty to make significant contributions to the historical record.

(<https://www.couragefoundation.org/>)

Bitcoin uses peer-to-peer technology to operate with no central authority or banks; managing transactions and the issuing of bitcoins is carried out collectively by the network.

(<https://www.bitcoin.org/>)

 (<https://www.facebook.com/wikileaks>)

 (<https://twitter.com/wikileaks>)