

[Click here to print](#)

MailOnline

Revealed: How 163million Americans will get a tax rise next year REGARDLESS of who wins election

By [Daily Mail Reporter](#)

PUBLISHED: 20:52 EST, 21 October 2012 | **UPDATED:** 06:41 EST, 22 October 2012

President Barack Obama isn't talking about it and neither is Mitt Romney.

But come January, 163 million workers can expect to feel the pinch of a big tax increase regardless of who wins the election.

A temporary reduction in Social Security payroll taxes is due to expire at the end of the year and hardly anyone in Washington is pushing to extend it.



It's all to play for as the third and final presidential debate looms

Neither Obama nor Romney has proposed an extension, and it probably wouldn't get through Congress anyway, with lawmakers in both parties down on the idea.

Even Republicans who have sworn off tax increases have little appetite to prevent one that will cost a typical worker about \$1,000 a year, and two-earner family with six-figure incomes as much as \$4,500.

Why are so many politicians sour on continuing the payroll tax break?

Republicans question whether reducing the tax two years ago has done much to stimulate the sluggish economy. Politicians from both parties say they are concerned that it threatens the independent revenue stream that funds Social Security.

They are backed by powerful advocates for seniors, including AARP, who adamantly oppose any extension.

'The payroll tax holiday was intended to be temporary and there is strong bipartisan support to let that tax provision expire,' said Sen. Orrin Hatch of Utah, the top Republican on the Senate Finance Committee.



Praying for victory? Mitt and Ann Romney attend a church service on Sunday



US President Barack Obama speaks during a campaign rally at George Mason University in Fairfax, Virginia, on Friday, wearing a pink Breast Cancer band

'The continued extension of a temporary payroll tax holiday has serious long-term implications for Social Security and, frankly, it's not even clear that it has helped to boost our ailing economy.'

The question of renewing the payroll tax cut has been overshadowed by the expiration of a much bigger package of tax cuts first enacted under President George W. Bush.

The Bush-era tax cuts also expire at the end of the year, and Congress is expected to try to address them after the election, in a lame-duck session.

The payroll tax cut could become part of the mix in negotiations that could go in many directions. But lawmakers in both political parties say they doubt it.

'I think there's a growing consensus that Congress and the president can't continue to divert such a critical revenue stream from Social Security,' said Rep. Kevin Brady of Texas, a senior Republican on the tax-writing House Ways and Means Committee.

'I think more and more Americans understand that that payroll tax cut, while politically appealing, is endangering Social Security.'

Before he was named as Romney's running mate, Rep. Paul Ryan, R-Wis., disparaged the payroll tax cut, calling it 'sugar-high economics' that wouldn't promote long-term growth.

Social Security is funded by a 12.4 per cent tax on wages up to \$110,100, rising to \$113,700 in 2013. Half is paid by employers and the other half is paid by workers.

For 2011 and 2012, Congress and Obama cut the share paid by workers from 6.2 per cent to 4.2 per cent.



Post church veg-out: Romney looks at a menu as he orders dinner at a BurgerFi in Florida



Get involved: Romney laughs as he watches a flag football game between between reporters that cover Romney, and Romney staff n Delray Beach, Florida



Romney tosses the coin before a friendly match of flag football

worker making \$50,000 saved \$1,000 a year, or a little more than \$19 a week. A worker making \$100,000 saved \$2,000 a year.

The beauty of the tax cut is that it shows up in weekly paychecks, giving workers more money to spend or save. The downside is that some workers may not notice a \$19-a-week increase in pay, making them unlikely to credit the politicians who made it happen.

Under the law, Congress is reimbursing Social Security for the lost revenue, estimated at \$103 billion in 2011 and \$112 billion in 2012.

But Congress didn't cut spending or raise other taxes to offset the lost revenue, so the payroll tax cut is being financed with borrowed money, adding to the national debt.

Democrats are more willing to defend the tax cut, saying it helped prop up the economy during a rough stretch while providing what amounted to a 2 per cent pay increase to millions of middle-income workers.

But they, too, are concerned about maintaining Social Security's source of revenue.

'I think people realize that was a temporary thing,' said Sen. Mark Begich, D-Alaska.

Rep. Richard Neal of Massachusetts, a senior Democrat on the Ways and Means Committee, said he thinks there is evidence that the tax cut helped the economy.

But, he added, 'I'm not sure that it met expectations.'

House Democratic leader Nancy Pelosi of California said she, too, wants to let the tax cut expire.

Larry Summers, Obama's former economic adviser, is a lonely voice in Washington calling to extend the payroll tax cut. He said in a recent speech that the economy is too fragile to reduce workers' incomes.

Obama pushed for the tax cut in late 2010 as a way to increase workers' take-home pay to help boost consumer spending and provide a spark for the economy.



Head to head: Campaign signs are seen in a median in front of Lynn University, the site of Monday's presidential debate



Preparation is everything: Workers enter the debate hall ahead of Monday's presidential debate

Economists were divided on the economic benefits. Many said it probably helped increase consumer spending but there was no consensus on the magnitude.

The initial tax cut was for only a year, and many Republicans in Congress wanted to let it lapse at the end of

2011. But Obama and Democratic lawmakers successfully fought to extend it through 2012.

Obama, however, didn't include the tax cut in his 2013 budget proposal, and Treasury Secretary Timothy Geithner told Congress this year that he saw no reason to extend it again.

White House spokeswoman Amy Brundage wouldn't rule out an extension but wouldn't commit to one, either.

'The president fought extremely hard last year in the face of Republican opposition to ensure that the payroll tax cut was extended,' Brundage said.

'There are a number of tax issues that Congress will have to deal with at the end of the year, this being one of them, and we will continue to evaluate all of the options available to us at that time.'

Romney's campaign hammers Obama almost every day for proposing to let Bush-era tax cuts expire for individuals making more than \$200,000 and married couples making more than \$250,000.

But Romney's tax plan would let the payroll tax cut expire, an issue he doesn't mention on the stump.

Romney's campaign declined to discuss the issue.



Ready for the next round? Obama and Romney shake hands at the conclusion of the 2nd presidential debate at Hofstra University in New York

MOST READ NEWS

- [Previous](#)
- [1](#)
- [2](#)
- [3](#)
- [Next](#)



- ['My size is none of your business': The woman who sparked a...](#)



- [Woman who dressed in racy costume for Comic Con speaks out...](#)



- [Pictured: 'Armed and irrational' woman walking naked through...](#)



- [Republicans bracing for 'October surprise' as Gloria Allred...](#)



- [CIA chiefs face arrest over horrific evidence of bloody...](#)



- [Donald Trump threatens to drop 'gigantic' bombshell about...](#)




- ['Not the type of people we want here': Wedding planner's bid...](#)



- [Female reporter 'savagely attacked and groped' in Cairo...](#)



- ['Every day she cries ... she won't leave her room':...](#)



- ['When you get married you bet on forever': Husband of...](#)



- [Bradford housewife claims she spent five years being raised...](#)



- ['I'm not adulterer. I'm not gay. I didn't beat anybody': New...](#)

Comments (51)

- [Newest](#)
- [Oldest](#)
- [Best rated](#)
- [Worst rated](#)

[View all](#)

You Americans are so funny, squabbling about who is gonna lead your country, when we all know it will be bankrupt before the end of the decade whoever wins.- mark , york, 22/10/2012 21:26*****This is a common misconception. America will never go bankrupt because it can "print" it's own money (as UK can). In fact America is already "printing" more money. That is what quantitative easing is all about. Of last years 1 trillion dollar deficit the Federal reserve purchased 40% with "printed" money. I'm not saying this will turn out good, however, we won't go bankrupt. Also, most American debt is held by Americans, not China, and not any other nation. That's just the way it really is mark, york, but hey, laugh away.

- [Marc](#) , Saint Clair Shores MI, United States, 22/10/2012 22:20

Click to rate _ _ Rating 3

[Report abuse](#)

You Americans are so funny, squabbling about who is gonna lead your country, when we all know it will be bankrupt before the end of the decade whoever wins.

- [mark](#) , york, 22/10/2012 21:26

Click to rate _ _ Rating 5

[Report abuse](#)

We don't pay into our own social security so this doesn't help the people who are paying it helps the people who are not. Social security is a failed program that needs to be revamped and fixed. Everyone knows it is going to run out before any of us paying for it get old enough to collect.

- [joanhart](#) , philly, USA, 22/10/2012 20:53

Click to rate _ _ Rating 5

[Report abuse](#)

Non-Americans pay too if they work in the USA. Funnily enough, taxation without representation.

- [Buzzbat](#) , Stamford, 22/10/2012 18:50

Click to rate _ _ Rating 2

[Report abuse](#)

We can't cut welfare or social programs, that will loose the Democrat's votes! Ever feed a stray cat? Once you do they will never go away. Same as welfare recipients. So keep squeezing blood out of those who haven't become accustomed to free lunch.

- [Hoggie](#) , Texas, 22/10/2012 18:31

Click to rate _ _ Rating 12

[Report abuse](#)

this is not a tax increase, nor was the reduction two years ago a tax cut. The action two years ago was a reduction in the amount we each contribute to our social security which further exacerbated the unfunded liabilities in this program.. the increase in January is only one step that is necessary to try and bring some sanity to an otherwise broke program which has not kept up with morbidity rates since its inception 78 years ago.

- [Mark R Crawford](#) , Washington D.C., 22/10/2012 17:57

Click to rate _ _ Rating 12

[Report abuse](#)

And Obama's overseas cash accounts in the Caymans are ok because????? - Organic goodness , Becuse they don't exist

- [misllane](#) , frankfurt, 22/10/2012 17:56

Click to rate _ _ Rating 5

[Report abuse](#)

Cutting defense is NOT why we had the attack on 9/11. The bush administration had the intel (info) and knew it was going to happen but no one (bush administration) took precautions against it. Our defense and military budget is out of control and the country will benefit from the cut. We control 40% of the WORLD share in military spending, we're #1 and the country that comes in second is somewhere around 14% or even lower. This is ridiculous, unnecessary, and out of proportion! If you want to talk cuts and point fingers then look at romneys history and how he got his surplus. Slashing education, social programs, and services. No emergency funds for natural disasters, crime increase with no budget to staff more policeman, etc. and he wants to raise the military budget? People should be concerned about these things.

- [A](#) , Dallas, tx, 22/10/2012 17:47

Click to rate __ Rating 6

[Report abuse](#)

PS its true ..the Mormon-ism (is that a term for it) lts the Great Un -mentionable! lts a pretty eccentric religion but sssshhhh, lets not talk about it!

- [Nickyg](#) , London, 22/10/2012 17:43

Click to rate __ Rating 6

[Report abuse](#)

This tax raise was made during Obama's term, what does it have to do with Romney?

- [jam](#) , USA, United States, 22/10/2012 17:11

Click to rate __ Rating 5

[Report abuse](#)

The views expressed in the contents above are those of our users and do not necessarily reflect the views of MailOnline.

Find this story at www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-2221228/Revealed-How-163million-Americans-tax-rise-year-REGARDLESS-wins-election.html

Published by Associated Newspapers Ltd

Part of the Daily Mail, The Mail on Sunday & Metro Media Group

GlamEntertainment

© [Associated Newspapers Ltd](#)

